

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/295,273	04/20/1999	NORIO SUMITOMO	2927-0103P	6992	
2292	7590 01/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	JRCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3711	0.41	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	1 <i>24</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	·					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\wedge d$			
Advisory Action	09/295,273	SUMITOMO ET AL.	<u> </u>			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
51 MAU ING DASE (44)	Stephen L. Blau	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: As stated in the Final Office Action	<b>)</b> .					
Claim(s) objected to: As stated in the Final Office Action.						
Claim(s) rejected: As stated in the Final Office Action.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $oxed{oxed}$ The drawing correction filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> i.	s a)□ approved or b)⊠ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	\	<u>'</u> _K/			
0. Other:		STEPHEN	est Con			
BEST AVAILABLE COPY PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/295,273

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: N being an integer of one or more requires further consideration and/or search. This change is not considered new matter. It is noted that figure 2 does not support this element of structure change in the claims but figure 4 does. However the new figures 17-20 are considered new matter due to the new combination of layers used to form a shaft. As such figures 17-20 have not been approved.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The change to the specification in pages 9-10 and to the claims overcomes the drawing objection under 37 CFR 1.83(a) and the rejection of claims 1 and 4-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph

BEST AVAILABLE COPY